

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

	N. 1. 1	<u> </u>		P
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,194	09/11/2000	Daniel J. Marchok	11520US03	9145
7590 07/14/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert B Polit			NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA	
McAndrews He	ld & Malloy Ltd			
500 W Madison			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 3400			2665	(4
Chicago, IL 60661				12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/659,194	MARCHOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  i) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  PONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-3-0	4 Amendment.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This a	☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-45 and 49-64</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,45,49 and 64</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-44 and 50-63</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec	cation No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 t sentence of the specification visional application has been	19(e) (to a provisional application) n or in an Application Data Sheet. received.				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inforn	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
,						

Art Unit: 2665

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed

before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is

determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre
AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2665

2. Claims 30 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gudmundson (5,790,516).

Regarding claims 30 and 49:

Gudmundson (5,790,516) discloses figure 4b in a communication system, generating a digital output data stream for subsequent digital-to-analog conversion to carrier signals having a plurality of frequency bins, the method comprising:

receiving the digital input data stream ( $x_n$ , col.8, lines 1-4) {414, fig.4}; generating digital data points (by ADC 434) representing the subsymbols ( $b_1-b_{N-1}$ ) without entering the time domain in response to the subsymbols ( $b_1-b_{N-1}$ ) {fig.4, col.8, lines 12-48}.

accumulating (by combiner circuitry 438)the digital data points associated with one or more of the frequency bins {fig.4b}

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/659,194 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 45 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gudmundson (5,790,516) in view of Isaksson (5,812,523).

#### Regarding claims 45 and 64:

Gudmunson does not explicitly disclose wherein the carrier signals carry at least some subsymbols aligned in time. However, in the same field of endeavor, Isaksson (5,812,523) discloses wherein the carrier signals carry at least some subsymbols aligned in time {col.2, lines 46-47; figs. 2-3}. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Isaksson's teaching to Gudmunson's system with the motivation being to provide the calculation of cross-correlation in serial fashion.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,194 Page 5

Art Unit: 2665

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 31-44 and 50-63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- A/. Applicant argued that Gudmundson does not disclose claim 30's feature, which is limited to generating digital signal data points without entering the time domain and accumulating step.

In reply, as well known in the art, a signal can be represented as a function of time in the time domain, or a function of frequency domain without being changed. Thus, the signal is not necessary to be in the time domain so it can be generated. Also, when a signal is being viewed in frequency domain, the signal which is viewed in frequency domain would look different from the signal which is viewed in time domain. Because in the frequency domain, the signal is

Art Unit: 2665

observed in frequency and amplitude, and in time domain, the signal is observed in time and amplitude. Therefore, examiner does not find that the amended features, "without entering the time domain", to claims 30 and 49 would make the amended claims distinguished from Gudmundson. Applicant is directed to figure 4b in Gudmundson and wherein analog waveform b(t) is converted to a discrete time series signal b<sub>n</sub> column 8, lines 19–21 without entering the time domain.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

Page 7

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Page 8

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

DUC HO PRIMARY EXAMINED

7 0 0